SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL

EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS DECISION RECORD

The following decisions were taken on Wednesday 27 May 2015 by the Cabinet.

Date notified to all members: Friday 29 May 2015

The end of the call-in period is 4:00 pm on Thursday 4 June 2015

The decision can be implemented from Friday 5 June 2015

Item No

8. INTEGRATED COMMISSIONING OF HEALTH AND CARE

- 8.1 The Executive Director, Communities submitted a report in relation to the integrated commissioning of health and care.
- 8.2 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet supports the increased joining up of the work of the Clinical Commissioning Group and Sheffield City Council, as set out in the report now submitted, so that our pooled health and care budgets can be used to commission better, more joined-up health and care services that help more people stay independent, safe and well.

8.3 Reasons for Decision

- 8.3.1 Increased pooling of budgets and aligned incentives between health and care services should enable:
 - The development of more joined-up health and care services Sheffield people do not want to be passed from 'pillar to post'.
 - Frontline staff and managers in health and care services to spend less time on managing the system and more time on supporting Sheffield people to improve their health and wellbeing.
 - Increased investment in preventative services helping more people in Sheffield stay independent, safe and well.
 - Improved medium-term planning for the health and care system as a whole
 helping Sheffield cope with increased demand for health services and reduced levels of Local Government funding.
- 8.3.2 Achieving these benefits will require us to enter into a closer, strategic partnership with Sheffield Clinical Commissioning Group. The terms of this partnership are as set out in the Section 75 Agreement.
- 8.3.3 The Section 75 Agreement is designed to allow us increase the depth of our partnership and the level of risk-sharing with Sheffield Clinical Commissioning Group incrementally. Proposals for further joint ventures will however be taken forward within the Council's decision-making processes.

8.4 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

8.4.1 There were no alternative options presented in the report.

8.5 Any Interest Declared or Dispensation Granted

None

8.6 Reason for Exemption if Public/Press Excluded During Consideration

None

8.7 Respective Director Responsible for Implementation

Laraine Manley, Executive Director, Communities

8.8 Relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee If Decision Called In

Healthier Communities and Adult Social Care

9. A SECOND UNIVERSITY TECHNICAL COLLEGE SERVING SHEFFIELD CITY REGION

9.1 The Executive Director, Children, Young People and Families submitted a report in relation to proposals for a second University Technical College serving Sheffield City Region.

9.2 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet:-

- agrees that the implementation of the proposals contained in this report are likely to promote and improve the economic and social well-being of Sheffield;
- approves the selection of an elected member and a senior officer to represent the interests of the City Council on the governing body of the UTC;
- (c) delegates authority to the Executive Director, Children, Young People and Families, in consultation with the Director of Capital and Major Projects and with the relevant Cabinet Members, to agree the steps that need to be taken to further the project and protect the Council's interests;
- (d) delegates authority to the Director of Capital and Major Projects, in consultation with the Executive Director, Children, Young People and Families, to instruct the Director of Legal and Governance to negotiate and agree the detailed terms of the lease to the UTC and instruct the Director of Legal and Governance to grant a lease of the site on the agreed terms, and that this will be shared with the relevant Cabinet Member;
- (e) delegates authority to the Director of Capital and Major Projects, in

consultation with the Executive Director, Children, Young People and Families, to instruct the Director of Legal and Governance to take all necessary steps and enter into such documentation as is required to further the project, and that this will be shared with the relevant Cabinet Member; and

(f) notes the current position on the development of the Olympic Legacy Park and the potential capital commitment from the Council.

9.3 Reasons for Decision

- 9.3.1 The recommendations will enable the Sheffield College, as the sponsor, to move to the position of securing the UTC 2 funding agreement and thereby the procurement of the new building.
- 9.3.2 UTC 2 will help young people to exploit new opportunities in key sectors of the local economy and help employers to secure the better skilled recruits that they will need for growth in a global economy.
- 9.4 Alternatives Considered and Rejected
- 9.4.1 UTC 2 to proceed without LA support UTC 2 is widely seen as having the potential to make an important contribution to the city's economic growth and is supported by key local employers accordingly. This is a key objective for the Local Authority and merits the active engagement and support of the City Council.
- 9.4.2 Sheffield City Council to deliver the programme a local authority is not allowed by DfE regulations to lead on the establishment of a UTC Trust. However, the City Council was instrumental in creating the conditions and partnerships that has allowed the Sheffield College to make an application and it is proposed in this Cabinet paper that the City Council maintains its influence and support by making an appointment to the UTC 2 Trust as a Governor.
- 9.5 Any Interest Declared or Dispensation Granted

None

9.6 Reason for Exemption if Public/Press Excluded During Consideration

None

9.7 Respective Director Responsible for Implementation

Jayne Ludlam, Executive Director, Children, Young People and Families

- 9.8 Relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee If Decision Called In Children, Young People and Family Support
- 10. ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL WELLBEING SCRUTINY AND POLICY

DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE REPORT: PRIVATE SECTOR HOUSE BUILDING

10.1 The Director of Policy, Performance and Communications, submitted a report presenting the Environmental and Economic Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee's Task Group report outlining the work it undertook on private sector house building in the City.

10.2 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet:-

- (a) thanks the Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee for its work on Private Sector House Building;
- (b) notes the Private Sector House Building Report that is attached as Appendix A to the report;
- (c) agrees that a joint response from the Cabinet Member for Housing and the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources is provided to the meeting of the Committee in as soon as practicably possible after the Summer; and
- (d) agrees that a further report to the Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee on progress on implementing the recommendations be provided to the Committee by the end of 2015.

10.3 Reasons for Decision

- 10.3.1 In order to make it clear to the Scrutiny Committee what actions the Council is committing to, the Committee requests a joint response to its Private Sector House Building report.
- 10.3.2 To enable the Committee to scrutinise progress made in implementing the recommendations, the Committee requests a further report back on implementation.

10.4 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

- 10.4.1 An alternative option in relation to the recommendations below would be to do nothing with the Task Group Report. However, given the time and effort spent by the Task Group and contributions to the work from external organisations this is not deemed a viable option.
- 10.4.2 An alternative option in relation to the recommendations would be to respond to the Committee's report over a much longer timescale. However, the Scrutiny Committee wishes to see a fast response to its recommendations. The Committee believes a report to its July meeting strikes an appropriate balance between speed and allowing sufficient time for Cabinet Members and officers to consider the recommendations in the Private Sector House Building report.

10.5 Any Interest Declared or Dispensation Granted

None

10.6 Reason for Exemption if Public/Press Excluded During Consideration

None

10.7 Respective Director Responsible for Implementation

Simon Green, Executive Director, Place

10.8 Relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee If Decision Called In

Economic and Environmental Wellbeing

11. REVENUE AND CAPITAL YEAR END POSITION 2014/15

- 11.1 The Executive Director, Resources submitted a report providing the Outturn monitoring statement on the City Council's Revenue and Capital Budget for 2014/15.
- 11.2 Councillor Jackie Drayton, Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Families commented that the second paragraph in relation to the section on Dobcroft Infants 1 Year Expansion in Appendix 8.1 of the report was incorrect and should be removed.
- 11.3 Councillor Isobel Bowler, Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods, requested that the title of the M1 Gateway section in Appendix 8.1 of the report be amended to 'Tinsley Art Project'.
- 11.4 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet, subject to the above amendments:-
 - (a) notes the updated information and management actions provided by the report on the 2014/15 Revenue Budget Outturn;
 - (b) approves requests in respect of Access to Grants and Portfolio Carry Forwards as shown in Appendix 1.1 and Appendix 1.2 respectively;
 - (c) approves £600k of the 2014/15 underspend to be carried forward into 2015/16 in order to be used on a number of priority projects and notes that the Leader will decide specifically how the amount carried forward will be allocated, in consultation with Cabinet colleagues;
 - (d) approves the project to replace the Finance and eProcurement System, as detailed in Appendix 7 of the report;
 - (e) in relation to the Capital Programme:-
 - (i) approves the proposed additions to the Capital Programme listed in Appendix 8.1 of the report with the exception of the Public Art allocation of the Grey to Green scheme which is not approved,

including the procurement strategies and delegations of authority to the Director of Commercial Services or nominated Officer, as appropriate, to award the necessary contracts following stage approval by Capital Programme Group;

- (ii) requests a report back to a future meeting on the Public Art allocation of the Grey to Green scheme;
- (iii) approves the proposed variations and slippage as detailed in Appendix 8.1 of the report;
- (iv) delegates authority to the Director of Finance and the Director of Legal and Governance to finalise, and, if satisfactory, accept, the conditions of the grant listed in Appendix 8.2 of the report; and
- (v) delegates authority to the Director of Finance, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources, to finalise the approved budget amounts to be slipped forward into 2015/16;
- (vi) notes the outturn position on the Capital Programme; and notes
- (vii) the emergency approvals taken under delegated authority, as set out in Appendix 8 of the report

11.5 Reasons for Decision

11.5.1 To formally record changes to the Revenue Budget and the Capital Programme and gain Member approval for changes in line with Financial Regulations and to reset the Capital Programme in line with latest information.

11.6 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

11.6.1 A number of alternative courses of action are considered as part of the process undertaken by officers before decisions are recommended to Members. The recommendations made to Members represent what Officers believe to be the best options available to the Council, in line with Council priorities, given the constraints on funding and the use to which funding is put within the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme.

11.7 Any Interest Declared or Dispensation Granted

None

11.8 Reason for Exemption if Public/Press Excluded During Consideration

None

11.9 Respective Director Responsible for Implementation

Eugene Walker, Interim Executive Director, Resources

11.10 Relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee If Decision Called In

Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee